1	CARL L. BLUMENSTEIN (SBN 124158)			
2	cblumenstein@nossaman.com WILLIS HON (SBN 309436)			
3	whon@nossaman.com Nossaman LLP			
4	50 California Street, 34th Floor			
5	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 398-3600			
6	Facsimile: (415) 398-2438			
7	JINGNI (JENNY) ZHAO (SBN 284684)			
8	jennyz@advancingjustice-alc.org KEVIN CHUN HOI LO (SBN 278908)			
9	kevinl@advancingjustice-alc.org			
10	WINIFRED KAO (SBN 241473) winifredk@advancingjustice-alc.org			
11	Asian Americans Advancing Justice – Asian Lav 55 Columbus Avenue	v Caucus		
	San Francisco, CA 94111			
12	Telephone: (415) 896-1701 Facsimile: (415) 896-1702			
13				
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff Asian Americans Advancing Justice – Asian Law Caucus			
15				
16				
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
19	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
20				
21	ASIAN AMERICANS ADVANCING JUSTICE – ASIAN LAW CAUCUS,	Case No:		
22	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT		
23	VS.			
24	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND			
25	SECURITY, IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT,			
26	Defendant.			
27	Defendant.			
28				

COMPLAINT

## <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1. Plaintiff Asian American Advancing Justice – Asian Law Caucus ("ALC") brings this action against Defendant U.S. Department of Homeland Security, Immigration and Customs Enforcement ("ICE") to compel compliance with the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552 ("FOIA").

### **JURISDICTION**

2. The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

## VENUE AND INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

- 3. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e).
- 4. Assignment to the San Francisco division is proper pursuant to Local Rule 3-2(c) and (d) because a substantial portion of the events giving rise to this action occurred in this district and division, where Plaintiff is headquartered.

#### **PARTIES**

- 5. Plaintiff ALC is a not-for-profit corporation established under the laws of the State of California, based in San Francisco, California. ALC is a public interest organization that defends the legal, civil, and human rights of members of Asian American and Pacific Islander communities, with a focus on low-income and immigrant communities. ALC works to achieve its mission in a number of program areas, including immigration and deportation defense, through (1) provision of direct legal services, (2) strategic impact litigation, and (3) community education and organizing. Accordingly, a major component of ALC's work is to disseminate information of public interest both to Asian American and Pacific Islander communities and to the broader public. ALC currently represents and provides legal consultations to a number of Laotian nationals on their immigration cases.
- 6. Defendant ICE is an agency of the United States Government within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 552(f)(1). ICE is headquartered at 500 12<sup>th</sup> Street SW, Washington, D.C. 20536.

On information and belief, Defendant has possession, custody, and control of records to which Plaintiff seeks access.

# STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 7. On July 17, 2018, Plaintiff submitted a letter, by certified mail and by e-mail, to Defendant pursuant to the FOIA requesting records from Defendant ("FOIA Request"). A true and correct copy of the FOIA Request is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated by this reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 8. Plaintiff requested the records identified in the FOIA Request to obtain information regarding the repatriation of Laotian nationals who have been ordered removed from the United States and understand Defendant's actual ability to repatriate said individuals. Specifically, Plaintiff seek information as to whether a repatriation agreement or policy between the governments of the United States and Laos exists and whether Defendant has, in practice, been able to obtain travel documents for the repatriation of Laotian nationals. The information is of particular interest to Plaintiff and the constituencies it assists because, if a repatriation agreement or policy exists, Laotian nationals under final orders of removal now face an elevated risk of being deported. If not, then the prolonged detention of such individuals while awaiting travel documents that are not reasonably likely to be issued may be unlawful.
- 9. Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i), the FOIA requires Defendant to determine whether to comply with the request within twenty (20) working days and to notify Plaintiff immediately of its determination, the reasons therefor, and the right to appeal any adverse determination.
- 10. The FOIA allows an agency to extend the time limit for issuance of a determination by ten (10) additional working days when the agency provides written notice to the requesting party, sets forth "unusual circumstances" for the extension, and provides a date by which the agency expects to dispatch its determination. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(B)(i).
- 11. By e-mail sent on August 12, 2018, Defendant acknowledged receiving Plaintiff's FOIA Request on August 12, 2018. The August 12, 2018 e-mail stated that Defendant had assigned the FOIA Request the case number 2018-ICFO-55423. Defendant's August 12, 2018 e-

mail also asserted: "Due to the increasing number of FOIA requests received by this office, we may encounter some delay in processing your request." The August 12, 2018 e-mail indicated that Defendant had queried the appropriate program offices within ICE for responsive records.

- 12. In its August 12, 2018 e-mail to Plaintiff, Defendant asserted that the FOIA Request "seeks numerous documents that will necessitate a thorough and wide-ranging search." Defendant stated in the August 12, 2018 e-mail that "ICE will invoke a 10-day extension for your request, as allowed by Title 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(B)."
- 13. On December 17, 2018, Plaintiff sent a letter, by certified mail and by e-mail, to Defendant requesting that the Defendant expedite the response to the FOIA Request in every way possible and requested that the Defendant respond to the letter by January 4, 2019 or to provide an alternative response date.
- 14. By e-mail sent on December 20, 2018, Defendant stated: "In regards to 2018-ICFO-55423 we have queried the appropriate component of DHS for responsive records. If any responsive records are located, they will be reviewed for determination of releasability. We will process your request as expeditiously as possible. Upon completion of the processing, all documents that can be released will be made available to you at the earliest possible date. We sincerely apologize for the delay you are experiencing and appreciate your continued patience."
- 15. On February 19, 2019, Plaintiff sent an e-mail to Defendant seeking an update regarding the status of the FOIA Request and asking when Defendant expected to provide documents in response to the FOIA Request.
- 16. By email sent on March 7, 2019, Defendant indicated that it was responding to Plaintiff's February 19, 2019 e-mail and stated: "For your information, document(s) responsive to your request have been located and forwarded to this office for review. We will process your request as expeditiously as possible. Upon completion of the processing, all documents that can be released will be made available to you at the earliest possible date. We sincerely apologize for the delay you are experiencing and appreciate your continued patience."
- 17. On March 14, 2019, Plaintiff sent a letter, by certified mail and by e-mail, to Defendant requesting that Defendant respond to the FOIA Request by March 29, 2019 or to

1

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28 provide an alternative response date. As of the date of this complaint, Defendant still has not provided the requested documents and has not identified a date certain by which it intends to provide its determination with respect to the FOIA Request.

- 18. As of the date of this complaint, Defendant has failed to: (i) determine whether to comply with the request; (ii) notify Plaintiff of any such determination or the reasons therefor; (iii) advise Plaintiff of the right to appeal any adverse determination; or (iv) produce the requested records or otherwise demonstrate that the requested records are exempt from production.
- 19. Pursuant to the combined thirty (30) working day statutory time limit under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i) and 5 U.S.C. § 552(A)(6)(B), Defendant's determination was due by September 24, 2018 at the latest. Defendant's failure to make a determination within the statutory time limit violates the FOIA.
- Because Defendant has failed to comply with the time limits set forth in 5 U.S.C. 20. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i) and 5 U.S.C. § 552(A)(6)(B), Plaintiff is deemed to have exhausted any and all administrative remedies pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(C).

# FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

# (Violation of FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552)

- 21. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 20 as if fully stated herein.
- 22. Defendant violated the FOIA by failing to make a determination on Plaintiff's FOIA Request within the relevant time periods in 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i) and 5 U.S.C. § 552(A)(6)(B).
- Defendant is unlawfully withholding records requested by Plaintiff pursuant to 5 23. U.S.C. § 552.
- 24. Plaintiff has a statutory right to receive a lawful determination from Defendant, as well as to promptly receive the underlying records it seeks.
- 25. Defendant has still not made a determination on Plaintiff's FOIA Request that describes the scope of the records it intends to produce or withhold and the reasons for withholding any records. Defendant has not informed Plaintiff that it may appeal any specific

1	adverse determination within the relevant time periods in 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i) and 5			
2	U.S.C. § 552(A)(6)(B).			
3	26.	26. Plaintiff is being irreparably harmed by reason of Defendant's unlawful		
4	withholding	withholding of records responsive to the FOIA Request, and Plaintiff will continue to be		
5	irreparably harmed unless Defendant is compelled to conform its conduct to the requirements of			
6	the law.			
7	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray that this Court:			
8	1.	1. Order Defendant ICE to produce, by a date certain, any and all non-exempt		
9	records to Plaintiff ALC's FOIA Request and a Vaughn index2 of any responsive records			
10	withheld under claim of exemption;			
11	2.	2. Enjoin Defendant ICE from continuing to withhold any and all non-exempt		
12	records responsive to the FOIA Request;			
13	3.	3. Grant Plaintiff an award of attorneys' fees and other litigation costs reasonably		
14	incurred in this action pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(E); and			
15	4.	4. Grant Plaintiff such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.		
16	Date: A	pril 17, 2019	Dagnactfully submitted	
17	Date. A	pm 17, 2019	Respectfully submitted, NOSSAMAN LLP	
18			CARL L. BLUMENSTEIN WILLIS HON	
19			WILLIS HON	
20			By: /s/ Willis Hon	
21			Willis Hon	
22			Attorneys for Plaintiff Asian Americans Advancing Justice – Asian	
23			Law Caucus	
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	2 Vaughn v.	Rosen, 484 F.2d 820 (D.C. C	Cir. 1973), cert. denied, 415 U.S. 977 (1974).	
	•			

COMPLAINT